

| PERFORMANCE T |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|
|               |  |  |

|              | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2001         | Unsatisfactory  | Excellent          | N/A                      |
| 2002         | Below Average   | Good               | N/A                      |
| 2003<br>2004 | Below Average   | Below Average      | N/A                      |

| TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM |              |      |      |      |                                     |      |  |
|--|--------------|------|------|------|-------------------------------------|------|--|
|  | Our School S |      |      |      | ligh Schools with tudents Like Ours |      |  |
| Percent  | 2001         | 2002 | 2003 | 2001 | 2002                                | 2003 |  |
| Passed all 3 subtests  | 52.7         | 50.2 | 57.6 | 56.8 | 54.1                                | 53.6 |  |
| Passed 2 subtests  | 20.7         | 19.0 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 21.3                                | 20.8 |  |
| Passed 1 subtest   | 13.2         | 14.9 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 14.2                                | 15.0 |  |
| Passed no subtests   | 13.5         | 15.9 | 9.2  | 9.9  | 10.4                                | 10.1 |  |

|                               | Exit Exam Passage<br>Rate by Spring 2003 |      |     | Eligibility for LIFE<br>Scholarships* |     | Graduation Rate |  |
|-------------------------------|--|------|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|--|
|                               | n  | %    | n   | %                                     | n   | %               |  |
| All Students                  | 297                                      | 88.9 | 261 | 6.5                                   | 356 | 73.0            |  |
| Gender                        |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| Male                          | 119                                      | 93.3 | 114 | 7.9                                   | 167 | 68.3            |  |
| Female                        | 177                                      | 86.4 | 147 | 5.4                                   | 189 | 77.2            |  |
| Race or Ethnic Group          |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| African American              | 181                                      | 84.5 | 157 | 1.9                                   | 224 | 70.1            |  |
| Hispanic                      | N/A                                      | N/A  | 0   | N/A                                   | 0   | N/A             |  |
| White                         | 106                                      | 96.2 | 97  | 14.4                                  | 120 | 80.0            |  |
| Other                         | 3  | I/S  | 7   | 0.0                                   | 12  | 58.3            |  |
| Disability Status             |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| Non-speech disabilities       | 18                                       | 72.2 | 19  | 0.0                                   | 44  | 43.2            |  |
| Students without disabilities | 279                                      | 90.0 | 242 | 7.0                                   | 312 | 77.2            |  |
| Migrant Status                |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| Migrant                       | N/A                                      | N/A  | 0   | N/A                                   | 0   | N/A             |  |
| Non-migrant                   | 18                                       | 72.2 | 261 | 6.5                                   | 0   | N/A             |  |
| English Proficiency           |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| Limited English proficient    | N/A                                      | N/A  | 0   | N/A                                   | 0   | N/A             |  |
| Non-LEP                       | 297                                      | 88.9 | 261 | 6.5                                   | 350 | 74.3            |  |
| Lunch Status                  |  |      |     |                                       |     |                 |  |
| Subsidized meals              | 191                                      | 84.8 | 160 | 1.9                                   | 216 | 74.1            |  |
| Full-pay meals                | 99                                       | 98.0 | 101 | 13.9                                  | 140 | 71.4            |  |

| Percent of                                | Our School | High Schools with<br>Students Like Ours |
|---|------------|---|
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at | 6.5        | 5.0                                     |
| four-year institutions*                   |            |   |
| Seniors who met the SAT requirement       | 6.5        | 5.1                                     |
| Seniors who met the grade point average   | 46.4       | 42.6                                    |

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

| Marlboro County High  |                   |                                    |   | 3501026                  |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| SCHOOL PROFILE  |                   |                                    |   |                          |
|   | OurSchool         | Change from<br>Last Year           | High Schools with<br>Students Like Ours | Median<br>High<br>School |
| Students (n= 1,410)   |                   |                                    |   |                          |
| Retention rate<br>Attendance rate   | 10.5%<br>99.4%    | Down from 15.9%<br>Up from 99.3%   | 10.0%<br>95.4%                          | 7.3%<br>95.5%            |
| Eligible for gifted and talented  With disabilities other than speech         | 8.1%<br>12.1%     | Up from 0.0%<br>Up from 11.0%      | 3.4%<br>14.6%                           | 5.1%<br>12.2%            |
| Older than usual for grade<br>Suspended or expelled                           | 12.0%<br>3.8%     | Down from 15.5%<br>Up from 1.7%    | 13.7%<br>2.5%                           | 10.1%<br>2.3%            |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs<br>Successful on AP/IB exams                       | 7.6%<br>N/A       | N/A<br>N/A                         | N/A<br>N/A                              | 10.2%<br>N/A             |
| Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 7.1%<br>2.1%      | Down from 9.0%<br>Down from 4.6%   | 2.9%<br>2.2%                            | 2.7%<br>3.2%             |
| Enrollment in career/technology cente<br>courses                              | er 962            | Up from 758                        | 319                                     | 433                      |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences                            | 11.3%             | Up from 6.1%                       | 20.4%                                   | 26.3%                    |
| Career/technology students mastering<br>core competencies                     | 60.5%             | Down from 62.7%                    | 74.9%                                   | 74.9%                    |
| Career/technology completers placed   | 94.7%             | Down from 97.1%                    | 98.7%                                   | 99.5%                    |
| Teachers (n= 84)  |                   |                                    |   |                          |
| Feachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers                   | 32.1%<br>67.9%    | Down from 38.6%<br>Down from 87.5% | 42.2%<br>75.3%                          | 51.7%<br>81.8%           |
| Highly qualified teachers<br>Feachers returning from previous yea             | N/A<br>r 83.9%    | N/A<br>Down from 89.6%             | N/A<br>82.1%                            | N/A<br>85.1%             |
| Feacher attendance rate<br>Average teacher salary                             | 93.9%<br>\$37,257 | Down from 94.3%<br>Down 3.6%       | 95.0%<br>\$38,244                       | 95.8%<br>\$40,303        |
| Prof. development days/teacher  | 14.7 days         | Up from 13.5 days                  | 11.0 days                               | 10.3 days                |
| School<br>Principal's years at school   | 4.0               | Up from 3.0                        | 2.0                                     | 3.0                      |

| * Drior year | auditad | financial | data | aro | roported |  |
|--------------|---------|-----------|------|-----|----------|--|

Parents attending conferences

Percent spent on teacher salaries\*

Student-teacher ratio

Prime instructional time

Dollars spent per pupil\*

Opportunities in the arts

SACS accreditation

|   | Our District | State |  |
|---|--------------|-------|--|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools  | N/A          | N/A   |  |
|   |              |       |  |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools | N/A          | N/A   |  |

N/A

Down from 29.3 to 1

Down from 91.3%

Down from 57.8%

Up from 38.0%

Down from Excellent

Up 7.8%

25.0 to 1

88.6%

\$6,827

54.0%

Good

85.8%

yes

26.2 to 1

90.1%

\$6,279

57.8%

87.8%

yes

Excellent

27.8 to 1

91.0%

\$5,269

55.4%

Good

58.0%

yes

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

| N/A Not Applicable | N/C Not Collected | N/R Not Reported | I/S Insufficient Sample |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year was a positive one for Marlboro County High School. Important strides were made as we continued to focus our efforts on improving student outcomes. Our students engaged in a number of service activities and set an example for students of other schools in our community. Among our accomplishments were the following: 1) SAT scores for our 12th-grade students showed growth for the third consecutive year; 2) seniors were offered more than \$2 million in scholarships and awards; 3) our character education program received a National Schools of Character award; 4) Exit Exam scores for our 10th-grade students showed growth; 5) our Army JROTC was designated as a "Unit of Distinction," placing it among the top 10 percent of all JROTC programs in America.

Students enrolled in vocational courses of study participated in mentoring programs, on-the-job training, and job shadowing activities. Membership in our Business Education Partnership has continued to grow. Student participation in extra-curricular activities included serving as volunteer tutors for elementary and middle school students, performing community services, and continuing the MCHS Key Club as an affiliate of Kiwanis International.

Advances in the innovative use of technology include the continuation of a "Technology Tuesday" staff development plan and the development and maintenance of an up-to-date school web page.

I believe it is important for the supporters of MCHS to realize that the focus will remain on improving student outcomes and maintaining a high school that will make the community proud.

Mr. Rocky Peterkin, Principal

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS         |          |          |         |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|  | Teachers | Students | Parents |  |  |  |  |
| Number of surveys returned                             | 50       | 206      | 10      |  |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment            | 59.2%    | 58.7%    | 70.0%   |  |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 70.8%    | 67.2%    | 70.0%   |  |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with home-school relations           | 36.7%    | 72.9%    | 50.0%   |  |  |  |  |

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.